

2018 CoC Renewal Project Application Scoring Tool

NH-500 Balance of State CoC

Agency: _____

Agency Contact: _____

Program Name: _____

Reviewer's Name: _____

Total Score: _____

All renewal projects must meet HUD threshold requirements.

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. Project proposes to serve an eligible population for the project type | Yes | No |
| 2. Project proposes to use eligible costs for the project type | Yes | No |
| 3. Project applicant and subrecipient(s) are eligible entities | Yes | No |
| 4. Match is greater than or equal to 25% | Yes | No |
| 5. Project agrees to participate in CoC Coordinated Entry System (CES), and demonstrates participation in the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) or other comparable system for DV providers. | Yes | No |

If any of the above answers are NO, project does not meet threshold and is not eligible for funding consideration.

Project Type

6. What is project type?
- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Permanent Supportive Housing: | 6 points |
| Rapid Re-Housing: | 6 points |
| Transitional Housing: | 3 points |

Population Served

HUD's priority population is chronically homeless individuals and families.

- | | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 7. Percentage of NEW participants served in most recently completed grant year that were experiencing chronically homelessness upon program entry? | 85-100% or no new participants entered | 6 points |
| | 76-84%: | 3 points |
| | 70-75%: | 1 points |
| | 0-69%: | 0 point |

8. Percentage of beds served in most recently completed grant year that were youth (18-24) upon program entry?	20% and above:	3points
	19-10%:	2 points
	Below 10%:	0 Points
9. Percentage of beds served in most recently completed grant year that were Veterans?	40% and above:	3points
	30-39%:	2points
	20-29%:	1 points
	Below 20%:	0 points

Program Utilization

10. Did the project serve the number of participants/ households it proposed to serve in the most recently completed operating year?	90-100%:	8 points
	85-89%:	5 points
	80-84%:	3 points
	65-79%:	1 points
	Below 65%	0 point

Program Measurements

HUD is increasingly looking at System Performance Measures, and individual program performance when considering funding.

11. HOUSING STABILITY

ALL PROJECTS EXCEPT DV dedicated administered by VICTIME SERVICE PROVIDERS (VSP)

11a. INCREASED HOUSING STABILITY

i. Percentage of individuals and families who stayed in PSH at end of program operating year or who exited to other PH from PSH, RRH, TH or SSO only?	90-100%:	6 points
	85-89%:	4 points
	75-84%:	2points
	50-74%:	1 point
	Below 50%:	0 points
ii. Percentage of leavers who moved to their own housing unit at exit (permanent tenure)?	80-100%:	4points
	Below 80%:	0 point

PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS OR RUN BY VICTIM SERVICE PROVIDERS (VSP)

11b. INCREASED HOUSING STABILITY

i. Percentage of individuals and families who stayed in PSH at end of program operating year or who exited to other PH from PSH, RRH, TH or SSO only?	80-100%:	6 points
	70-79%:	5 points
	60-69%:	3 points
	50-59%:	1 point
	0- 49%:	0 points
ii. Safety of Survivors Improved?	90-100%:	4 points
	80-89%:	3 points
	79% or less	0 points

12. INCREASED PROJECT PARTICIPANTS EARNED INCOME (ALL PROJECTS)

Percentage of individuals whose income from <u>employment</u> increased from program entry date to program exit date or individuals who participated in program for over a year?	20-100%:	7 points
	15-19%:	3 points
	10-14%:	1 point
	0-9%:	0 points

13. INCREASED PROJECT PARTICIPANTS' UNEARNED INCOME (ALL PROJECTS)

Percentage of participants whose income increased from <u>sources other than employment</u> from program entry to program exit date, or for individuals who participated in program for over a year?	54-100%:	7 points
	45-53%:	3 points
	25-44%:	1 point
	0-24%:	0 points

14. INCREASED NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS OBTAINING NON-CASH MAINSTREAM BENEFITS

Percentage of participants' who obtained non-cash mainstream benefits from program entry to program exit or end of operating year?	56-100%:	7 points
	50-55%:	4 points
	25-49%:	2 points
	0-24%:	0 points

15. REDUCING RECIDIVISM

Percentage of all leavers who exited to shelter, streets or unknown	10% or less:	2 points
	Over 10%:	0 points

16. SEVERITY OF NEEDS AND VULNERABILITY

a. ADMISSION INCOME: Percentage of households/ individuals who had zero income at entry?	20-100%:	2 points
	10-19%:	1 point
	Below 10%:	0 points

b. PEOPLE ENTERING WITH A DISABLING CONDITION	20-100%:	2 points
	10-19%:	1 point
	Below 10%:	0 points

Financial

It is expected that all programs will submit invoices on a monthly basis. These questions will be answered based on BHS financial records.

17. How often does the program invoice expenses on the project (according to BHS policy 15 th of each month) or draw down funds from HUD?	Monthly:	6 points
	Bi-monthly:	3 points
	Quarterly or less frequently:	0 points

18. What percentage of the grant was expended for the last full operating year?

95-100%:	7 points
90-94%:	5 points
85-89%:	4 points
75-84%:	3 points
Less than 75%:	0 points

HMIS Participation

19. Percentage of HMIS client records with “null or missing values” for each Universal Data Element – *BHS will provide report for each renewal project. If the project is required by law to use a comparable database (eg. victim service providers), the same data standards apply based on the data reporting provided to the CoC.

0-9%: 6 points

10-15%: 1point

16-100%: 0 points

20. Timeliness of data entry into HMIS or comparable database as required by victim service providers.

80% and above of records entered in 4-6 days: 3points

79% or less of records entered in 4-6 days: 0 points

21. Timeliness of APR submittals to BHS 30 days after the close of the grant term (subrecipient) or submission to HUD before 90 day deadline (direct recipient)?

Yes: 5 points

NO: 0 points

CoC Participation (BoS Meetings – 7/11/17, 8/1/17, 9/12/17, 11/14/17, 1/9/18, 5/15/18)

22. What number of BoSCoC meetings in the past year (July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018) was your organization/agency represented?

6 meetings: 6 points

5 meetings: 5 points

4meetings: 4 points

3 meetings or less: 0 points

Policy Priorities

Housing First is an approach where homeless persons are provided **immediate access to housing** and then offered the supportive services that may be needed to foster long-term stability and prevent a return to homelessness. This approach removes unnecessary barriers and assumes that supportive services are more effective in addressing needs when the individual or family is housed – when the daily stress of being homeless is taken out of the equation. Key components of this model include a simple application process, a harm-reduction approach, and no conditions of tenancy beyond those included in the lease/ occupancy agreement. Participants’ shall not be exclude from entry into Housing First due to sobriety, mental health services, medication stability, sexual orientation, vulnerability to illness, vulnerability to victimization, vulnerability to physical assault, trafficking, or sex work are not required for entry into Housing First.

ATTACH a copy of your project policy, rules and any other standard participant agreements to your project application.

The following points will be determined by reviewing the project application and attached policies supporting the project application. If an applicant does not attach a copy of the Project

Policy, rules, or any other standard participant agreement, no more than ½ the points in each question for a “yes” response may be awarded.

The following questions will provide the scoring team with indicators as to whether your project is using a Housing First Model as defined by HUD.

23. Will your project serve a high percentage of people with significant barriers to stability based on specific population focus in FY2017 project application?

- Having little or no income (1 points)*
- Active or history of substance abuse (1 points)*
- Having a criminal record with the exception of state-mandated restrictions (1points)*
- Active or history of mental illness (1 points)*

24. Will your project ensure participants are not terminated for the following reasons Has your program terminated any participants' in the last year for any of the following?

- Failure to participate in supportive services (1 points)*
- Failure to make progress on a service plan (1 points)*
- Loss of income or failure to improve income (1 points)*
- Being a victim of domestic violence (1 points)*
- Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the region (1 points)*