



ADDENDUM #1

RFP-2022-DMS-03-VISIO

Vision Materials Volume Purchase Discount Program

(Changes are in ***bold, underlined and italicized text*** below to enable vendors to quickly recognize changes in paragraphs and/or wording.)

On April 11, 2022, the New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services published a Request for Proposals, to solicit proposals for the provision and servicing of all eyeglass materials, including but not limited to eyeglass lenses, frames, associated parts, and cases.

The Department is publishing this addendum to:

1. Delete and replace Section 4, Finance, Subsection 4.1, Financial Standards, with the following:

- 4.1.1. The Department anticipates using Federal and general funds for the resulting contract(s). The Department may choose to modify the source of funding contingent upon the availability of funds at the time of award. Any selected vendor will be subject to the requirements in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) # 93.778, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
- 4.1.2. **Funding for the resulting contract(s) is anticipated to be available in the amount of \$18,000 per State Fiscal Year.**
- 4.1.3. Proposers must explain how each position included in Appendix E, Program Staff List pertains to the proposal and what activities they will perform.
- 4.1.4. The Budget Sheet will be scored based on the following criteria:

Budget Sheet	
Score	Criteria
0-20	Costs are not allowable.
	Reader cannot understand the relationship of cost relative to the proposed services.
	Cost items do not directly align with objectives of the RFP.
	Costs are not reasonable.
	The costs do not represent significant value relative to anticipated outcomes.
21-48	Reader can generally understand the relationship of cost relative to the proposed services.
	Cost items are mostly aligned with the objectives of the RFP.
	Costs are predominantly reasonable.



	Costs relative to outcomes are adequate and meet the objectives of RFP
49-70	Reader has a thorough understanding of the relationship of cost relative to the proposed services.
	Cost items directly align with objectives of the RFP.
	Costs are reasonable.
	The costs represent significant value relative to anticipated outcomes.

4.1.5. The Program Staff List will be scored based on the following criteria:

Program Staff List	
Score	Criteria
0-9	Staffing costs are not reasonable.
	Reader cannot understand the relationship of staffing costs relative to the proposed services.
	Staffing cost items do not directly align with objectives of the RFP.
	The staffing costs do not represent significant value relative to anticipated outcomes.
10-21	Reader can generally understand the relationship of staffing costs relative to the proposed services.
	Staffing cost items are mostly aligned with the objectives of the RFP.
	Staffing costs are predominantly reasonable.
	Staffing costs relative to outcomes are adequate and meet the objectives of RFP
22-30	Reader has a thorough understanding of the relationship of staffing costs relative to the proposed services.
	Staffing cost items directly align with objectives of the RFP.
	Staffing costs are reasonable.
	Staffing costs represent significant value relative to anticipated outcomes.